

**DRAFT**  
**APPENDIX D**

**CORPS OF ENGINEERS PLAN OF ACTION FOR  
DISSOLVED GAS MONITORING IN 20010**

INTRODUCTION

This Plan of Action for 20010 summarizes the role and responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers as they relate to dissolved gas monitoring, and identifies channels of communication with other cooperating agencies and interested parties. The Plan summarizes what to measure, how, where, and when to take the measurements and how to analyze and interpret the resulting data. It also provides for periodic review and alteration or redirection of efforts when monitoring results and/or new information from other sources justifies a change. Some information on the complementary activities of other participating agencies is provided at the end of this document.

GENERAL APPROACH

The total dissolved gas (TDG) monitoring program consists of a range of activities designed to provide management information about dissolved gas and spill conditions. These activities include time-series measurements, data analysis, synthesis and interpretation, and calibration of numerical models. Four broad categories of objectives are involved:

- 1) data acquisition, to provide decision-makers with synthesized and relevant information to control dissolved gas supersaturation on a real-time basis,
- 2) real-time monitoring, to ascertain where project release water quality stands relative to existing state dissolved gas standards and federal criteria;
- 3) trend monitoring, to identify long-term changes in basin wide dissolved gas saturation levels resulting from water management decisions; and
- 4) model refinement, to enhance predictive capability of existing models used to evaluate management objectives.

Portland, Seattle and Walla Walla Districts will continue to assume direct responsibilities for TDG monitoring at their respective projects, including data collection, transmission, and analysis and reporting. The Division's Reservoir Control Center (RCC) will coordinate this activity with the Districts and other State and Federal agencies and private parties as needed to insure the information received meet all real-time operational and regulatory requirements. Districts and Division roles and functions are described in more detail in later sections of this document.

The Corps considers TDG monitoring a high priority activity with considerable potential for adversely affecting reservoir operations and ongoing regional efforts to protect aquatic biota. It will make all reasonable efforts toward achieving at least a data quality and reliability level comparable to that provided in ~~1999~~2000.

Furthermore, the Corps believes it is important to maintain a two-way communication between those conducting the monitoring and the users of monitoring information. These interactions give decision-makers and managers an understanding of the limitations of monitoring and, at the same time, provide the technical staff with an understanding of what questions should be answered.

Therefore, comments and recommendations received from users were and continue to be very useful in establishing monitoring program priorities and defining areas requiring special attention.

#### DISTRICTS/DIVISION RESPONSIBILITIES

Portland, Seattle and Walla Walla Districts Functions. Portland, Seattle and Walla Walla Districts will perform all the activities required at their TDG monitoring sites. Data will be collected and transmitted from those sites systematically and without interruption to the Columbia River Operational Hydromet Management System (CROHMS) (or any alternate database as may be specified). Normal monitoring season will be from 1 April through 15 September for all stations except Bonneville and the stations below Bonneville. Because of the Spring Creek hatchery release, monitoring for Bonneville and stations below Bonneville will be from 10 March through 15 September. Winter monitoring, where applicable, will be at least from 15 December through 15 March.

District responsibilities include but are not limited the following tasks:

- preparing annual monitoring plan of action and schedule
- procuring data collection/transmission instruments
- preparing and awarding equipment and service contracts
- performing initial instrument installation and testing
- setting up permanent monitoring installations, if requested
- relocating existing stations, if warranted
- collecting and transmitting TDG data to CROHMS
- reviewing data for early detection of instrument malfunction
- making periodic service and maintenance calls once every 2-3 weeks
- providing emergency service calls as needed and/or when so notified
- performing special TDG measurements, if needed
- keeping records of instrument calibration and/or adjustments
- retrieving, servicing, and storing instruments at the end of the season
- making final data correction and posting in separate data base providing final data corrections to the Division office
- performing data analysis to establish/strengthen spill vs. TDG relationship
- preparing an annual activity report
- document and report QA/QC performance

All three Districts will also be responsible for (1) preparing an annual report on instrument performances, and (2) providing the necessary material including test and data analyses, charts, maps, etc. for incorporation in the Corps' Annual TDG Report, which will be finalized by the Division. Additional monitoring at selected locations may be required on an as needed basis and as possible based on available funding. Dissemination of data to outside users will remain a Division responsibility to avoid duplication and uncoordinated service.

Division's Functions. The Division will be responsible for overall coordination of the TDG monitoring program with the Districts, other State and Federal agencies and cooperating parties. The Chief Leader of the Water Quality Section Unit, CENWD-NP-~~ETCM~~-WR, is the designated TDG Division Program Coordinator. S/he will reporting through the chain of command through Chief, Reservoir Control Center and Chief, Water Management Division to Director, Engineering & Technical Services Directorate. S/he The coordinator will be a member of and consult as needed

~~with interested staff in Planning Division, Pacific Salmon Coordination Office, Construction Operations Division, and others with the NWD-North Pacific Water Quality Team.~~

The Division TDG Program Coordinator will provide overall guidance to ~~his~~ District counterparts to ensure that the monitoring program is carried out in accordance with the plan outlined in this document, including close adherence to a general schedule and operating QA/QC protocols. ~~S/he~~ The individual will be the main point of contact for all technical issues related to the TDG monitoring at Corps projects. ~~S/he~~ The coordinator will refer problems of common regional interest to relevant forums such as the EPA/NMFS Water Quality Team (WQT) for peer review and open discussion. ~~S/he~~ The individual will facilitate final decision-making on technical issues based on all relevant input from interested parties.

The Division TDG Program Coordinator will meet with ~~his~~ District counterparts in January to discuss and firm up detailed implementation plan and schedule for the current year. Discussion will cover monitoring sites, equipment, data collection and transmission procedures, service and maintenance, budget, etc. A set of specific performance standards will be jointly prepared as a basis for reviewing and monitoring District performances. A post-season review meeting will be held annually to provide a critique of the operations and identify areas needing changes and/or improvements.

#### 200~~10~~ ACTION PLAN

The 200~~10~~ Action Plan consists of the ~~usual seven~~ following eight phases observed in previous years, plus winter monitoring. These phases are as follows:

- (1) Program start-up;
- (2) Instrument Installation;
- (3) In-season Monitoring and Problem Fixing;
- (4) Instrument Removal and Storage;
- (5) Winter Monitoring
- (6) Data Compilation, Analysis and Storage;
- (7) Program Evaluation and Report; and
- (8) Special Field Studies

The Plan of Action for all three Districts is essentially the same as in ~~1999~~ 2000, with the exception some QA/QC modifications.

Portland District will continue to use the USGS to conduct their TDG monitoring. Walla Walla District water quality staff ~~may will~~ contract out ~~some of the~~ routine instrument calibration responsibilities in 200~~10~~. ~~They will continue to operate much of their system by themselves.~~ Seattle District will continue to contract with Common Sensing, Inc. to conduct their routine calibration of TDG equipment. In general the ~~2000~~1999 plan is as follows.

#### Phase 1: Program Start-Up

Responsible parties (See Table 1) will be invited for a follow-up coordination meeting some time in January for final discussions on the plan of action. This will ensure a good mutual understanding of the most current objectives of the dissolved gas monitoring program, including data to be collected, instrument location, procedures to be used, special requirements, etc. The draft plan will be presented for peer review at a January meeting of the WQT.

All three Districts will ensure that adequate funding is available for 2001~~0~~ monitoring activities. Portland District, having decided to continue to use the service of the USGS in 2001~~0~~, will prepare the necessary MIPRs to secure those services and provide for rental and associated maintenance of the USGS's Sutron data collection platforms. Walla Walla District will review their equipment inventory and proceed with the necessary orders for new TDG instruments and DCPs, if applicable. Seattle will renew their contractual arrangements as needed for the operation of the Chief Joseph and Libby stations.

All maintenance and service contracts should be completed at least two weeks before the instruments are installed in the field. Where applicable, the Districts will ensure that real estate agreements and right of entry are finalized between the landowners and the Corps. All paper work for outside contracting will be completed no later than 31 January.

To date, the districts have been initiating the MIPR processes to continue contracts through the ~~1999-2000~~ 2000-2001 winter monitoring season and the 2001~~0~~-monitoring season. Districts and division have been updating the QA/QC protocols. Walla Walla District is planning to install temperature loggers in several Lower Snake reservoirs. Temperature loggers have already been placed in Dworshak Reservoir. Walla Walla ~~may be changing their current transmission systems from LAN connection based transmission to~~ will continue GOES satellite transmission

Discussions between districts, division and contractors are expected to continue through January, at which time a final plan of action will be produced. It is also understood that the following entities will continue to operate their monitoring instruments in 2001~~0~~:

- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, below Hungry Horse, at the International Boundary and above and below Grand Coulee Dam;
- Mid-Columbia PUDs (Douglas, Chelan and Grant Counties), above and below all five PUD dams on the Columbia River; and
- Idaho Power Company, in the Hells Canyon area (as part of its Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's license renewal requirement).

#### Phase 2: Instrument Installation

Instruments to be installed and their assigned locations are listed in Table 2 and shown in Figure 1. Some of them are already in place for the ~~1999-2000-2001~~ winter monitoring. The Corps network will essentially remain the same as in 1999, except for the following. Walla Walla District has installed temperature monitors in the upper portions of the Dworshak pool and is considering the installation of temperature monitors in the forebays of McNary, Ice Harbor and Lower Granite project. These stations would consist of eight sensors in ten-foot vertical increments collecting data every two hours. The district is discussing the cost feasibility of real-time transmission of this information versus manual downloading. ~~Also, the district will be adding depth sensors to the probes at all fixed monitoring sites.~~ Walla Walla ~~may will~~ keep the Anatone and Pasco sites in operation over the winter measuring temperature only. ~~Portland District has removed the Kalama and Wauna Mills sites (as of winter 1998-9). Portland District is looking into installing another fixed monitoring site near Camas.~~

As before, the station below Libby Dam will only be activated if spill for flood control at the project becomes likely.

All instruments are scheduled to have been in place and duly connected to their Sutron or Zeno DCP's no later than 10 March at Bonneville and downstream stations, and no later than 1 April at all other stations. If needed, the station below Libby will be reactivated in May or at least two weeks

before the start of flow releases for white sturgeon. Monitoring stations below Bonneville are scheduled to be in place first, prior to the release of Spring Creek Hatchery fish.

Corps stations that remain in service during the ~~1999-2000-2001~~ winter will continue their operation with minimum interruption into the spring, following the necessary instrument service and maintenance check-up. These stations include the following: Dworshak tailwater, [Pasco \(temperature\)](#), [Anatone \(temperature\)](#), Lower Granite forebay and tailwater, Ice Harbor forebay and tailwater, McNary forebay (Oregon and Washington sides) and tailwater, Bonneville forebay, and Warrendale. An assessment of monitoring site integrity will be conducted; any damages that may have occurred over the winter will be fixed before proceeding on to calibration and testing. Selected project personnel may be requested to assist on this task as needed.

### Phase 3: In-season Monitoring and Problem Fixing

Actual data collection and transmission will start prior to the first Spring Creek Hatchery release, but no later than 15 March for stations below Bonneville, and no later than 1 April for the remainder of the monitoring network. Exact starting dates will be coordinated with the Corps' Reservoir Control Center (CENWD-NP-~~CMET~~-WR-N), project biologists and cooperating agencies, based on run-off, spill, and fish migration conditions.

The following data will be collected approximately every hour:

WC, Water Temperature (°C)  
 BH, Barometric Pressure (mm of Hg)  
 NT, Total Dissolved Gas Pressure (mm of Hg)  
[Gage depth \(feet\)](#)

Oxygen pressure and calculated nitrogen pressure parameters are currently collected at Walla Walla stations and at one Seattle District station.

OP, Dissolved Oxygen Pressure (mm of Hg)  
 NP, Nitrogen + Argon Pressure (mm of Hg)

Data will be collected at least hourly and transmitted at least every four hours. If feasible, the previous 12 hours of data will also be sent to improve the capability of retrieving any data that may have been lost during the preceding transmission. For Portland, ~~and~~ [Seattle](#) [and](#) [Walla Walla](#) Districts, data transmission will be done via the GOES Satellite, to the Corps' ground-receive station in Portland. After decoding, all data will be stored in the CROHMS database. Per their contract with Portland District, the USGS is planning to have the satellite data going into CROHMS and ADAPS (internal to the USGS) simultaneously to allow for some pre-screening. ~~The Walla Walla District will transmit their data hourly to CROHMS and the Walla Walla District's Home page on the Internet. Transmission will be through routes other than the GOES satellite.~~

Given their direct relevance to fish mortality, the first three parameters (WC, BH and NT) will be collected on a first priority basis. At the 1998 annual post-season review, a suggestion was made to extend high monitoring priority to Dissolved Oxygen in known oxygen-deficient areas. During the 1999 annual post-season review, attendants were not convinced that oxygen should only be measured at oxygen limited locations because oxygen pressure data answers questions about nitrogen content of saturated waters. No resolution was reached, however if oxygen is measured, managers are encouraged to follow adequate QA/QC measures to ensure that the data gathered is valid.

~~Given the problems with calibration at the John Day tailwater station in 1999, and given the uncertainties of the deflector performance as it relates to TDG production, a A second or "redundant" instrument will be placed in the same monitoring pipe as the first instrument during the 2001~~0~~ monitoring season. Both instruments will transmit to CROHMS real-time.~~

Daily reports summarizing TDG and related information will be posted on the Technical Management Team's home page. To the extent feasible, the measured TDG data will be compared with model predicted values so that suspicious values can be flagged and/or discarded before they are released. Data filtering through other methods will also be made. Information provided on the homepage will include the following data:

- Station Identifier
- Date and Time of the Probe Readings
- Water Temperature, °C
- Barometric Pressure, mm of Hg
- TDG Pressure, mm of Hg
- Calculated TDG Saturation Percent (%)
- Project Hourly Spill, Kcfs (QS)
- Project Total Hourly Outflow, Kcfs (QR)
- Number of Spillway Gates Open
- Probe depth, ft
- Calculated Compensation Depth, ft

Spill bay Sstop settings, if different from the numbers provided in the Fish Passage Plan, will also be given reported to and coordinated with the Technical Management Team.

Reconciliation between data received to CROHMS will be made by the Reservoir Control Center staff based on the input from the field before the data are permanently stored in the Corps' Water Quality Data Base. Additional data posting in the Technical Management Team ~~or Portland, Seattle and Walla Walla Districts'~~ home page will continue.

Instrument reliability and accuracy will be monitored through the following basic QA/QC procedures, as discussed through the WQT technical workgroup.

- Calibrations of instruments will occur every two weeks
- Competent personnel (Corps or contractor) will visit monitoring site to check for and if necessary, fix site problems (probes clogging, leaking membranes, instruments out of calibration, etc.) and recalibrate the faulty instrument(s).
- Calibration will be accomplished using a primary standard (pressure gauge, hand-held barometer, etc). A secondary standard, such as a portable lab-calibrated instrument, will be used as needed to limit sampling precision uncertainty.
- TDG membranes will be changed every two weeks with a dry, functioning membrane.
- If an emergency visit is conducted, a redundant monitor will be placed in river during emergency visit to serve as a temporary back-up to field monitor.

If data recorded by the fixed sensors are different from those recorded during calibration procedure, appropriate corrections will be made to current as well as past data already stored in CROHMS as soon as possible. Data corrections will be provided to the Division office on an on-going basis so that they can be incorporated into the database. Significant and/or unusually large changes will be reported immediately to all customary users, including the Fish Passage Center.

Adequate inventory of spare instruments will be maintained to ensure that at least one backup monitor will be made available for deployment as necessary in each Corps District. A malfunctioning instrument will be repaired within 24 to 48 hours, depending on the remoteness of the instrument location and TDG conditions (weekends may require a longer response time). High priority will be placed on fixing a faulty instrument when TDG are or expected to be in excess of the current state standards.

Contractor and/or Corps staff will maintain TDG instruments. Instruments needing repairs that are beyond the staff's capability will be shipped to the manufacturer. In-house water quality and information management will do repairs of communication network staff. USGS Stennis Center (MS) staff will handle Service and repairs of the Sutron DCPs. Service and repairs of the Zeno DCPs will be performed by a contractor.

To better understand the physical process of dissolved gas distribution across the reservoirs and its dissipation along the various pools, selected transects studies will continue to be conducted on an as-time-permits basis. An additional objective for this activity is to be able to define how representative readings from current monitoring sites really are with respect to the entire river reach. Model runs using GASSPILL and other acceptable tools such as a Neural Network model or ~~regression-based equations~~ SYSTDG developed by the Waterway Experimental Station for the Gas Abatement Study will be performed as needed to define the range of expected/acceptable TDG levels under various spill conditions.

To help reduce response time in determining whether an emergency field visit is needed, the following decision-making model was developed by the WQT:

- 1) No emergency trips are made for the parameter of temperature or oxygen.
- 2) For gas and barometric pressure, if more than 25% of the hourly values are missing, then an emergency trip is needed.
- 3) If the difference in values between two consecutive stations is larger than 20 mm Hg for gas pressure, or 14 mm Hg for barometric pressure, then an emergency trip is triggered. Criterion 3 does not apply if:
  - a) there is a transient "spike" for a parameter.
  - b) if the higher-than-expected gas pressure value is associated with spill operations.
- 4) If gas parameters at a station do not fall within any of the WES generated/RCC generated gas production curves, are not caused from operational or structural changes, and these data persist for over 48 hours, then an emergency visit is triggered.
- 5) If there is uncertainty with an abnormal reading at a gas monitoring station that persists for more than 48 hours, the COE will notify TMT and WQT members as soon as possible via email. If the COE plans to change fish passage actions because of the uncertainty, it should notify both the TMT & WQT members of the proposed change. TMT members will determine whether or not a meeting or conference call is needed and advise the COE of this need. The COE will then convene a TMT meeting. ~~Each state's fishery and water quality agencies will work together prior to any TMT meeting on this issue to balance and assure consistency of the proposed actions with fishery management requirements and state water quality standards. If the WQT chairs determine a water quality issue exists, the issue will be framed by the WQT and forwarded from the chairs of the WQT to the chair of TMT or IT, as appropriate. Each state's fishery and water quality agencies and tribes will work~~

together prior to any TMT meeting on this issue to balance consistency of the proposed actions with fishery management requirements and state water quality standards.

#### Phase 4: Instrument Removal and Storage

Water quality monitors will be removed shortly after the end of the monitoring season (15 September) by Corps staff or the USGS, except for those that are slated for continued winter monitoring. Those removed will be serviced by the maintenance and service contractors and stored at a convenient location until the beginning of the next monitoring season. A selected number of monitors and spare DCPs will be available for off-season special monitoring activities upon request. Seattle District owns its Sutron DCPs, and maintains and stores them as needed.

#### Phase 5: Winter Monitoring

The same few stations that were selected for winter operation in 1999~~8-1999~~2000 will be retained for compliance monitoring in the following ~~1999-2000-2001~~ winter. These included, at a minimum, stations located at International Boundary, Dworshak tailwater, Lower Granite forebay and tailwater, Ice Harbor forebay and tailwater, McNary forebay (Oregon and Washington) and tailwater, Bonneville forebay, and Warrendale. Anatone and Pasco stations will continue to monitor temperature over the winter season.

#### Phase 6: Data Compilation, Analysis and Storage

Time and resource permitting, Corps staff and contractors will fill data gaps, perform statistical analyses, and develop trends and relationships between spill and TDG saturation. Efforts will be made to use the SYSTDG continue to be expanded on the calibration and application of GASSPILL (Dissolved Gas) and COLTEMP (Water Temperature) models, and finding ways to facilitate and/or improve user access to the TDG and TDG-related database. The GASSPILL model will be periodically modified to incorporate the latest findings brought about by the Gas Abatement Study. Regression-based models assembled by the University of Washington will also be used as appropriate. Possibly, †The SYSTDG model (being developed by WES) will be available for in-season gas production predictions and screening. Data collected at and transmitted from all network stations will be ultimately stored at CENWD-NP-~~ET~~WR-N, where they can be accessed through a data management system such as HEC-DSS.

#### Phase 7: Program Evaluation and Summary Report

An annual report will be prepared after the end of the normal (spring and summer) monitoring season to summarize the yearly highlights of the TDG monitoring program. It will include a general program evaluation of the adequacy and timeliness of the information received from the field, and how that information is used to help control TDG supersaturation and high water temperature in the Columbia River basin. Information on the performance of the instruments (including accuracy, precision and bias associated with each parameter) and the nature and extent of instrument failures will be documented. This summary should include statistics on data confidence limits. Division staff will prepare the Annual TDG Monitoring Report based on field input and other material provided by each District. This report will also contain suggestions and recommendations to improve the quality of the data during the FY2001~~0~~ monitoring program.

The WQT has discussed the possibility of developing an independent peer review process to confirm data quality in-season and to summarize data quality post season. This review process would likely be costly, so the group is currently compiling a firm outline of what the process would provide and how much it would cost. This action may be incorporated into the 2000 monitoring season.

### Phase 8: Special Field Studies

As provided for in Phase 3, additional monitoring of dissolved gas saturation will be conducted on an as-needed basis. The current plan for additional monitoring includes transect measurements below selected dams to: 1) establish the relationship between various spill amounts and TDG saturation, and 2) plot TDG variations within a given cross-section of the river, especially a cross-section that includes a fixed monitoring station. Special consideration will continue to be made at evaluating improvements (or any other changes) to TDG levels brought about by the new flip-lips at John Day Dam. Efforts will also be expanded in learning more about dissolved gas supersaturation dissipation along the fish migration route, possibly using monitoring made from moving fish barges and deployment of self-contained wireless probes. These on-going efforts are expected to continue for several years.

### COOPERATION WITH PARTICIPATING AGENCIES

The Bureau of Reclamation, Douglas County PUD, Chelan County PUD, and Grant County PUDs currently monitor for total dissolved gases at their mainstem projects. Until recently, these groups were not directly influenced by the listings of salmon and steelhead under the Endangered Species Act. Nonetheless, they have maintained a cooperative effort with the Corps in collecting and reporting total dissolved gas and related water quality parameters and in making this information available to the Corps for storage in their CROHMS database. Idaho Power Company is believed to have been collecting some TDG information in the Hells Canyon Complex for use in numerical modeling for FERC relicensing efforts. However, this information has not been as widely disseminated as the data from the rest of the TDG monitoring network. Following are the action plans for the cooperating agencies.

Bureau of Reclamation. Bureau of Reclamation TDG monitoring will continue at International Boundary and the Grand Coulee forebay and tailrace, and the Hungry Horse sites in 2000. Hourly data transmission to CROHMS will continue via the GOES satellite. ~~In May 1998, the Grand Coulee forebay sensor was lowered to elevation 1193', 15' below minimum operating pool. This change was done to provide more representative water quality data of the impounded water released downstream via turbine intakes or spill outlets.~~

Douglas County PUD. TDG monitoring will continue at the forebay and tailrace of Wells Dam in 2000. Hourly data from both of these stations will continue to be sent to the Corps. ~~Douglas Co. may be conducting their station calibrations on a more frequent basis in 2000, and are considering contracting this work out.~~

Chelan County PUD. The physical monitoring of TDG to be conducted in 2000 will be very similar to the monitoring conducted in ~~1999~~2000. Chelan will continue to monitor TDG in the forebay and tailrace of both Rocky Reach and Rock Island Dams. The PUD will continue to use Common Sensing monitors in the forebay and Hydrolab Datasonde 4s in the tailrace. Data will continue to arrive to the Corps hourly, and efforts will be made to repair malfunctioning probes within 48 hours. Monitoring instruments will be calibrated every three to four weeks or as necessary. Chelan will also continue to conduct weekly transects in the tailraces of both projects to validate the locations of the tailrace monitors and may institute some forebay transects to verify that forebay readings are representative of the conditions in the river at large.

Grant County PUD. TDG will continue to be monitored in the forebays and tailraces of both Wanapum and Priest Rapids Dams. Fixed site locations will not be changed and all probes will be calibrated before the season and every three to four weeks following. Hourly data will continue to be

posted on the Grant Co. PUD website. The PUD will also continue weekly cross sectional monitoring at the four fixed monitoring stations in the forebay and tailraces of both projects. Calibration of the instruments was contracted out in ~~1999~~ 2000.

**Table 1. List of Contact Persons in 2000**

<b>Project</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Phone #</b>	<b>E-Mail</b>
Internat'l Bndry., Hungry Horse, Grand Coulee	<del>Dave Zimmer</del> <u>Dr. Sharon Churchill</u>	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	<del>(208) 378- 5088(509) 754- 0254</del>	<del>Dzimmer</del> <u>schurchill@</u> pn.usbr.gov
	Norbert Cannon	Oversight	(208) 334-1540	ncannon@ pn.usbr.gov
	Jim Doty	Transmission	(208) 378-5272	jdoty@ pn.usbr.gov
Chief Joseph, Libby	Marian Valentine	<del>Hydraulic Eng./</del> Coordinator	(206) 764-3543	marian.valentine @usace.army.mil
	Dave VanRijn	Oversight	(206) 764-6926	david.p.vanrijn@ usace.army.mil
	Ray Strode	Trouble-shooting	(206) 764-3529	ray.strode@ usace.army.mil
Wells (Douglas)	Rick Klinge	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	(509) 884-7191	rklinge@ televar.com
Rocky Reach, Rock Isl.(Chelan)	Robert MacDonald	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	(509) 663-8121	robertm@ televar.com
Wanapum, Priest Rapids (Grant)	Chris Carlson	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	(509) 754-3541 x2154	ccarlso@ gcpud.org
	Dee Chandler	Oversight/Data Management	(509) 754-3541	dchandl@ gcpud.org
Dworshak, Low. Granite, Little Goose, Low. Monumental, Ice Harbor, McNary, Pasco, Anatone	Dave Reese	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	(509) 527-7279	david.l.reese@ usace.army.mil
	Gary Slack	Oversight.	(509) 527-7636	gary.m.slack@ usace.army.mil
	Russ Heaton	Oversight	(509) 527-7282	russ.d.heaton@ usace.army.mil
John Day, The Dalles, Bonne- ville, Warrendale, Skamania, Camas <del>/Washougal, Kalama, Wauna Mills</del>	Jim Britton	<del>Biologist/</del> Coordinator	(503) 808-4888	james.l.britton@ usace.army.mil
	Joe Rinella	USGS/Contract Coordinator	(503) 251-3278	jrinella@ usgs.gov
	Dwight Tanner	USGS/Oversight	(503) 251-3289	dqtanner@ usgs.gov
Division Pgm. Coordination	Dick Cassidy	Program Coordinator	(503) 808-3938	richard.a.cassidy @usace.army.mil
	<del>Mary Todd Haight</del> <u>Ruth Abney</u>	Program Oversight	(503) 808-3939	<del>mary.todd.haight</del> <u>ruth.a.abney@usa</u> ce.army.mil

**Table 2. 2000 Dissolved Gas Monitoring Network**

STATION CODE	STATION NAME	OWNERS
CIBW*	US/Can Boundary	USBR
HGHW	Below HGH	USBR
FDRW	GCL Forebay	USBR
GCGW	GCL Tailwater	USBR
LIBM (#)	LIB Tailwater	NWS
CHJ	CHJ Forebay	NWS
CHQW	CHJ Tailwater	NWS
WEL	WEL Forebay	DOUGLAS CO.
WELW	WEL Tailwater	DOUGLAS CO
RRH	RRH Forebay	CHELAN CO.
RRDW	RRH Tailwater	CHELAN CO.
RIS	RIS Forebay	CHELAN CO.
RIGW	RIS Tailwater	CHELAN CO.
WAN	WAN Forebay	GRANT CO.
WANW	WAN Tailwater	GRANT CO.
PRD	PRD Forebay	GRANT CO.
PRXW	PRD Tailwater	GRANT CO.
PAQW	Col. Above Snake	NWW
DWQI*	DWR Tailwater	NWW
PEKI	Peck/Clearwater	NWW
LEWI	Lewiston/Clearwater	NWW
ANQW	Upper Snake at Anatone	NWW
LWG*	LWG Forebay	NWW
LGNW*	LWG TW	NWW
LGS	LGS Forebay	NWW
LGSW	LGS Tailwater	NWW
LMN	LMN Forebay	NWW
LMNW	LMN Tailwater	NWW
IHR*	IHR Forebay	NWW
IDSW*	IHR Tailwater	NWW
MCQW*	MCN FB/Wa	NWW
MCQO*	MCN FB/Or	NWW
MCPW*	MCN Tailwater	NWW
JDA	JDA Forebay	NWP
JHAW	JDA Tailwater	NWP
TDA	TDA Forebay	NWP
TDDO	TDA Tailwater	NWP
BON*	BON Forebay	NWP
WRNO*	Warrendale	NWP
SKAW	Skamania	NWP
CWMW	Camas	NWP

(#) during spill only (\*) winter monitoring station USBR= U.S. Bureau of Reclamation NPP= Portland District NPS= Seattle District NPW = Walla Walla District LB=Left bank RB=Right bank MC=mid-channel

